IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

WEDNESDAY, THE 21ST NOVEMBER 2007 / 30TH KARTHIKA 1929

WA.No. 1628 of 2006()

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN WPC.18893/2006 Dated 19/07/2006
.....

APPELLANT: PETITIONER:

THE PARENT TEACHER'S ASSOCIATION
GOVT.LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOL, THRIKKAKARA,
ERNAKULAM, REPRESENTED BY ITS PRESIDENT
M.V. SUDHAKARAN, S/O. VAIRONI,
MADATHUMUGHAL HOUSE, VKC P.O.,
THEVAKKAL, ERNAKULAM.

BY ADV. SRI.H.BADARUDDIN
SMT.AYSHA RAHMAN

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **M.K. RAZZI, NEEDLE WORK TEACHER,**
   GOVERNMENT LOWR PRIMARY SCHOOL,
   THRIKKAKARA, ERNAKULAM.

2. **THE GOVERNMENT OF KERALA,**
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY
   TO GOVERNMENT, GENERAL EDUCATION (K) DEPARTMENT
   GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. **THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION**
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

4. **THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION**
   ERNAKULAM.

5. **THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,**
   ALUVA.

BY ADV. SRI.C.S.AJITH PRAKASH
GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.SANDESH RAJA

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 21/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN & T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JJ.

W.A.No.1628 OF 2006

Dated this the 21st day of November, 2007

JUDGMENT

Radhakrishnan, J.

This appeal is preferred by the Parent Teachers Association of Govt. L.P.S., Thrikkakara against the judgment in W.P.(C)No. 18893/06. The writ petition was filed by them challenging Ext.P4 order dated 01/01/2006 passed by the Government. Petitioner challenged the transfer in a revision petition and as per Ext.P4 Government order, petitioner was transferred back to Govt. L.P.School, Thrikkakara. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that PTA was not heard before Ext.P4 order was issued and the Government had not considered the interest of the institution. Since this is a Government School it is for the Government to take appropriate decision taking into account the interests of the students and also the institution, not the PTA. In such circumstances, we find no reason to entertain this appeal. Appeal is dismissed.

K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN
JUDGE

T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR
JUDGE

sv.